

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JANET M. COLQUITT)	
	1:19-cv-01871
Plaintiff(s),	Judge John Z. Lee Magistrate Judge Susan E. Cox
V. JP MORGAN CHASES CO	FILED
)	MAR 1 8 2019 W
Defendant(s).	
	THOMAS G. BRUTON CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
COMPLAINT OF EMPL	OYMENT DISCRIMINATION
1. This is an action for employment discrimi	ination.
2. The plaintiff is JANET M.	Colquitt of the
county of COOK	in the state of <i>TUINDIS</i> .
3. The defendant is TPMORGAN	CHASE & CO, whose
street address is 10 South	DEARBORN,
(city) CHICAGO (county) COOK	(state) TL (ZIP) 60603
(Defendant's telephone number)	270-4247
4. The plaintiff sought employment or was e	mployed by the defendant at (street address)
10 SOUTH DEAR BO	RN (city) CHICABO
(county) COOK (state) TL	(ZIP code) <u>60603</u>

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 2 of 8 PageID #:2

5.	The p	plaintiff [check one box]	
	(a)	□ was denied employment by the defendant.	
	(b)	□ was hired and is still employed by the defendant.	
	(c)	was employed but is no longer employed by the defendant.	
5.	The common (mon	defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about, th), (day), (year)	
7.1	(Choose paragraph 7.1 or 7.2, do not complete both.)		
	(a)	The defendant is not a federal governmental agency, and the plaintiff [check one box] \square has \square has not filed a charge or charges against the defendant	
		asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this complaint with any of the	
		following government agencies:	
		(i) the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, on or about	
		(month) 03 (day) 36 $(year)$ $30/8$.	
		(ii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about	
		(month) 03 (day) 26 (year) 2018 .	
	(b)	If charges were filed with an agency indicated above, a copy of the charge is	
		attached. Yes, D No, but plaintiff will file a copy of the charge within 14 days	
	It is t	he policy of both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois	
	Depa	rtment of Human Rights to cross-file with the other agency all charges received. The	
	plain	tiff has no reason to believe that this policy was not followed in this case.	
7.2	The c	defendant is a federal governmental agency, and	
	(a)	the plaintiff previously filed a Complaint of Employment Discrimination with the	
	[lf y	you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]	

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 3 of 8 PageID #:3

	defend	lant asserting t	he acts of discrimina	ation indicated	in this court complaint.
		☐ Yes (mor	th)	(day)	(year)
		□ No, did n	ot file Complaint of	Employment 1	Discrimination
	(b)	The plaintiff	received a Final Ago	ency Decision	on (month)
		(day)	(year)	·	
	(c)	Attached is a	copy of the		
		(i) Complain	nt of Employment D	iscrimination,	
		□ Yes	□ No, but a copy	will be filed w	within 14 days.
		(ii) Final Age	ency Decision		
		□ Yes	□ N0, but a copy	will be filed w	within 14 days.
8.	(Comp	olete paragrap	h 8 only if defendan	t is not a feder	al governmental agency.)
	(a) 🗆	the Unite	d States Equal Empl	loyment Oppor	rtunity Commission has not
		issued a	Notice of Right to Su	ie.	
	(b) X	the United St	ates Equal Employn	nent Opportun	ity Commission has issued
	, ,	a Notice	of Right to Sue, which	ch was receive	d by the plaintiff on
		(month)_	02 (day) <u>28</u> (ye	ear)_2019_ a copy of which
			attached to this com		
9.	The de	efendant discri	minated against the	plaintiff becau	use of the plaintiff's [check only
	those that apply]:				
	(a) [Age (Age D	iscrimination Emplo	oyment Act).	
	(b) [Color (Title	VII of the Civil Rig	thts Act of 196	4 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 4 of 8 PageID #:4

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

	(c) Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitation Act)
	(d) Dational Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
	(e) Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).
	(f) Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)
	(g)
10.	If the defendant is a state, county, municipal (city, town or village) or other local
	governmental agency, plaintiff further alleges discrimination on the basis of race, color, or
	national origin (42 U.S.C. § 1983).
11.	Jurisdiction over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: for Title VII
	claims by 28 U.S.C.§1331, 28 U.S.C.§1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C.§2000e-5(f)(3); for 42
	U.S.C.§1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C.§1988; for the ADA by 42 U.S.C.§12117; for the
	Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 791; and for the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. § 626(c).
12.	The defendant [check only those that apply] (a) □ failed to hire the plaintiff.
,	(b) terminated the plaintiff's employment.
	(c) ☐ failed to promote the plaintiff.
	(d) failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
	(e)
	(f) \(\sqrt{failed to stop harassment;} \)
	(g) retaliated against the plaintiff because the plaintiff did something to assert rights protected by the laws identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 above;
	(h) Of other (specify): Offosition To DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES-RETALIATION

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 5 of 8 PageID #:5

[If you need additional space for ANY section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]

-	
-	
The	facts supporting the plaintiff's claim of discrimination are as follows: USEE ADDITIONAL PAGE THE PARTICULARS OF MS. COLQUITT'S CHARGE
-	E DISCRIMINATION ONLY] Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willful riminated against the plaintiff.
disc	
disca The	riminated against the plaintiff. plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\sigma\) No
discr The THE	plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\sime\) No EREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaint
discr The	riminated against the plaintiff. plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\sigma\) No EREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintick only those that apply]
The THE [che (a)	plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\sigma\) No REFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintift ck only those that apply \(\sigma\)
The THE [che (a) (b)	riminated against the plaintiff. plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\text{No} \) EREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintick only those that apply \(\text{Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.} \) \(\text{Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff.} \)
The THE [che (a) (b) (c)	riminated against the plaintiff. plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\text{No} \) REFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintick only those that apply] \(\text{Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.} \) \(\text{Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff.} \) \(\text{Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff.} \)
The THE [che (a) (b) (c) (d)	plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\) No EREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintift of the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintiff of the plaintiff. Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff. Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff. Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff. Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
The THE [che (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. Yes \(\) No EREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaint ck only those that apply \(\) \[\text{Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.} \(\) \[\text{Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff.} \(\) \[\text{Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.} \(\) \[\text{Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilitien.} \(\)

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 6 of 8 PageID #:6

in you need ad	unional space for ANY Section, please attach an additional sheet and reference that section.]
liq daı	available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive relief, lost wages, uidated/double damages, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive mages, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and costs, including asonable attorney fees and expert witness fees.
(h) Gr	ant such other relief as the Court may find appropriate.
(Plaintiff's sig	mature) T. M. CocquiTT me)
(Plaintiff's nat	me)
1015	MAPLE RD
(Plaintiff's str	eet address)
(City) FLO	55MOOR (State) IL (ZIP) 60433
(Plaintiff's tel	ephone number) (<u>708</u>) – <u>629–1272</u>
	Date: March 18, 2019

Case: 1:19-cv-01871 Document #: 1 Filed: 03/18/19 Page 7 of 8 PageID #:7,,

The particulars of Ms. Colquitt's charge are as follows:

Ms. Colquitt worked for JP Morgan's offices in Chicago for nearly twenty-eight years before she was fired on February 1, 2018. At all times relevant to this charge, she worked as a Portfolio Administrator at JP Morgan's office at 10 South Dearborn Street in Chicago. Her supervisor at the time of her firing also is an African American female. This supervisor also supervised another Portfolio Administrator who is a Caucasian female. Ms. Colquitt perceived that her superviso provided favorable treatment to this Caucasian colleague with respect to training, work opportunities and feedback. When Ms. Colquitt complained about this treatment, her supervisor placed her on a performance improvement plan (PIP) on December 19, 2016. She completed the PIP and was told by her supervisor that her performance was fully satisfactory. However, on October 26, 2017, Ms. Colquitt sen an email to her supervisor thanking her for additional responsibilities and expressing her hope that she will receive the same training her supervisor had provided her colleague in undertaking the work. This infuriated the supervisor who, the following day, issued a letter of warning to Ms. Colquitt.

The letter of warning provides that Ms. Colquitt could be terminated at any time. Ms. Colquitt had complained not only to her supervisor but also to JP Morgan Human Resources personnel about her supervisor's conduct, including her belief that she was being subjected to discriminatory treatment and a hostile work environment. She informed HR that her supervisor was determined to fire her. That prophecy was fulfilled when, on February 1, 2018, the supervisor informed Ms Colquitt that she was terminated and arranged to have security personnel escort he out of the building.

- ITEM#13 CONTINGED"

		DISMISSAL AND NOTICE	CE OF	RIGHTS
c/o V Attoi 6342	et Colquitt Vaite P. Stuhl Tney At Law Waterman Avenue Louis, MO 63130		From:	Chicago District Office 500 West Madison St Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60661
	CONFIDENTIAL (29	(s) aggrieved whose identity is OCFR §1601.7(a))		
EEOC Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative		Telephone No.
440-2019	12000	Daniel Gajda,		
440-2018-03980 Investigator			(312) 869-8131	
	The facts alleged in the char	N THIS CHARGE FOR THE F ge fail to state a claim under any lve a disability as defined by the	of the sta	atutes enforced by the EEOC.
				s or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge			
X				estigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the not certify that the respondent is in compliance with construed as having been raised by this charge.
	The EEOC has adopted the	findings of the state or local fair e	mployme	ent practices agency that investigated this charge.
	Other (briefly state)			
		- NOTICE OF SUIT F	ached to th	his form.)
You may file lawsuit mus	e a lawsuit against the resp t be filed WITHIN 90 DAY	andent(s) under fodoral law b	dismissa ased on	ondiscrimination Act, or the Age al and of your right to sue that we will send you this charge in federal or state court. Your your right to sue based on this charge will be ferent.)
	Act (EPA): EPA suits must underpayment. This mean file suit may not be collected.		rt within riolation	2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the sthat occurred more than 2 years (3 years)
		On behalf of the	Commis	ssion
		Illime Bon	$\sim c$	12/19/18
Enclosures(s)		Julianne Bown District Direct		(Date Mailed)
Ass JP I 10 S 20th	ne Padilla istant General Counsel MORGAN CHASE BANK i. Dearborn Floor ago, IL 60603			